

# Title II

## Higher Education Act

### SUBMIT REPORTS

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Alliant International University  
 Traditional Program  
 2009-10

Print Report Card

Program Information

**Name of Institution:** Alliant International University  
**Institution/Program Type:** Traditional  
**Academic Year:** 2009-10  
**State:** California

**Address:** One Beach Street

San Francisco, CA, 94133

**Contact Name:** Ms. Jayme Mogen  
**Phone:** 4159552069  
**Email:** jmogen@alliant.edu

**Is your institution a member of a Teacher Quality Enhancement (TQE) partnership grant:** No

**TQE partnership name or grant number, if applicable:**

#### Section I.a Program Admission

**For each element listed below, check if it is required for admission into any of your initial teacher certification program(s) at either the undergraduate or postgraduate level.**

Element	Undergraduate	Postgraduate
Application	NA	Yes
Fee/Payment	NA	Yes
Transcript	NA	Yes
Fingerprint check	NA	No
Background check	NA	No
Experience in a classroom or working with children	NA	No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	NA	No

Minimum high school GPA	NA	No
Minimum undergraduate GPA	NA	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	NA	No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	NA	No
Minimum ACT score	NA	No
Minimum SAT score	NA	No
Minimum GRE score	NA	No
Minimum basic skills test score	NA	Yes
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	NA	No
Minimum Miller Analogies test score	NA	No
Recommendation(s)	NA	Yes
Essay or personal statement	NA	Yes
Interview	NA	Yes
Resume	NA	No
Bechelor's degree or higher	NA	Yes
Job offer from school/district	NA	No
Personality test (e.g.,Myers-Briggs Assessment)	NA	No
Other (specify: )	NA	No

**Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:**

<http://www.alliant.edu/wps/wcm/connect/website/Home/Admissions/>

**Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:**

Postgraduate

**Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students? Yes**

**Please provide any additional about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:**

Applicants may petition for admission if they do not meet the minimum undergraduate GPA requirement.

Application fee and faculty interview may be waived for applicants who are affiliated with partner organizations.

Section I.b Program Enrollment

**Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled.**

Total number of students enrolled in 2009-10:	19
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2009-10:	11
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2009-10:	8

<b>2009-10</b>	<b>Number enrolled</b>
----------------	------------------------

<i>Ethnicity</i>	
Hispanic/Latino of any race:	3
<i>Race</i>	
American Indian or Alaska Native:	0
Asian:	0
Black or African American:	2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander:	1
White:	10
Two or more races:	1

Section I.c Supervised Experience

**Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2009-10.**

Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching	30
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	720
Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	0
Number of full-time equivalent adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	0.4
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	13

**Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:**

Section I.d Teachers Prepared

**Provide the number of teachers prepared, by academic major and subject area prepared to teach in 2009-10. (§205(b)(1)(H))**

Academic major	Number prepared
Credential + MAE	8
TOTAL	0

Subject area	Number prepared
Biological Sciences (Specialized)	1
English	2
Foreign Language: Spanish	1
Foundational Level Mathematics	1
Physical Education	1
Science: Chemistry	1
Social Science	1
TOTAL	8

Section I.e Program Completers

Provide the total number of initial teacher certification preparation program completers in each of the following academic years:

2009-10: 8

2008-09: 37

2007-08: 7

Section II. Annual Goals

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative routes to state certification or licensure program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. IHEs that do not have a teacher preparation program in one or more of the areas listed below can enter NA for the area(s) in which the IHE does not have that program.

Teacher shortage area	Goal for increasing prospective teachers trained
Mathematics	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> 40 (total Trad. &amp; Alt.)</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p>Partnerships with organizations who recruit STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) professionals opened a pipeline of prospective students, and the program initiated support systems to help career-changers succeed in a new profession. The organization also increased online marketing efforts for prospective students generally, which may have contributed to meeting the goals for this specific subject.</p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p>
Science	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> 40 (total Trad. &amp; Alt.)</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p>Partnerships with organizations who recruit STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) professionals opened a pipeline of prospective students, and the program initiated support systems to help career-changers succeed in a new profession. The organization also increased online marketing efforts for prospective students generally, which may have contributed to meeting the goals for this specific subject.</p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p>

<p>Special education</p>	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> N/A no Traditional Pgrm.</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p>
<p>Instruction of limited English proficient students</p>	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> All are proficient</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p>All candidates who complete the program are required to be proficient in the instruction of ELLs. Course topics embed instruction for ELLs. Additionally, university field supervisors work with each new teacher to target and differentiate instruction for effective advancement of English language learners.</p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p> <p>This is a consistent area of challenge for credential candidates, and the program continues to focus on how to meet this challenge via coursework and strategies for the classroom.</p>
<p>Other</p>	<p><b>Academic year:</b></p> <p><b>Goal:</b></p> <p><b>Goal met?</b></p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p>

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Section II. Assurances

Please indicate whether your institution is in compliance with the following assurances.

**Training provided to prospective teachers responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the institution's graduates are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.**

Yes

**Training provided to prospective teachers is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.**

Yes

**Prospective special education teachers receive coursework in core academic subjects and receive training in providing instruction in core academic subjects.**

NA

**General education teachers receive training in providing instruction to children with disabilities.**

Yes

**General education teachers receive training in providing instruction to limited English proficient students.**

Yes

**General education teachers receive training in providing instruction to children from low-income families.**

Yes

**Prospective teachers receive training on how to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.**

Yes

**Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:**

Alliant's teacher education program includes intensive summative seminars that, in collaboration with fieldwork, address these areas throughout the program. A unique facet of the program pairs experienced local practitioners with candidates as field supervisors, utilizing the expertise of experienced teachers and their knowledge of the area to provide close one-on-one supervision during field placement.

Additionally, classroom topics specifically address each of the areas described above. For example, instruction on teaching English language learners explores explicit and systematic English Language Development (ELD) instruction best practices. Seminar and coursework instruction topics are closely matched to the needs of today's teachers and students in their focus on geographic, socio-economic and learning diversity. Finally, the California TPAs target these areas.

Section III. Assessment Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)	State Average pass rate (%)	State Average scaled score
120 -BIOLOGY/LIFE SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				76	230
120 -BIOLOGY/LIFE SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				99	244
120 -Biology/Life Science Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	3				100	243
124 -Biology/Life Science Subtest IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	246
175 -Business Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1					
176 -Business Subtest2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1					

177 -Business Subtest3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1					
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	5				97	151
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6				93	149
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	8				100	155
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	35	159	35	100	100	154
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	6				100	153
121 -Chemistry Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				91	248
121 -Chemistry Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	253
105 -ENGLISH SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				88	242
105 -ENGLISH SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				100	252
105 -English Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	4				100	252
105 -English Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	254
106 -ENGLISH SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				89	243
106 -ENGLISH SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				100	248
106 -English Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	4				100	246
106 -English Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	1				100	247

All program completers, 2007-08						
107 -ENGLISH SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				87	237
107 -ENGLISH SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				100	246
107 -English Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	4				99	246
107 -English Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	244
108 -ENGLISH SUBTEST IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				82	235
108 -ENGLISH SUBTEST IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				100	246
108 -English Subtest IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	4				99	248
108 -English Subtest IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	247
190 -Filipino Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1					
191 -Filipino Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1					
184 -Industrial And Tech Ed Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1					
185 -Industrial And Tech Ed Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1					
110 -MATHEMATICS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	245
110 -Mathematics Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	4				99	243
111 -MATHEMATICS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	244

111 -Mathematics Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	4				99	243
112 -Mathematics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				91	243
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	2				94	240
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				91	239
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	17	246	17	100	100	244
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	3				100	244
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	2				94	242
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				91	242
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	17	245	17	100	100	246
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	3				100	245
103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	2				96	240
103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				93	240
103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	17	238	17	100	100	244
103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	3				100	244
136 -Music Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				95	252

136 -Music Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1				100	256
137 -Music Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				100	254
137 -Music Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1				100	257
138 -Music Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				95	250
138 -Music Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1				100	252
129 -Physical Education Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1				81	229
129 -Physical Education Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				100	238
130 -Physical Education Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1				81	228
130 -Physical Education Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				100	236
131 -Physical Education Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1				78	225
131 -Physical Education Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				100	235
o81 -RICA Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	17	89	17	100	99	95
o81 -RICA Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	3				100	94
o81.1 -RICA.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	2				73	229
118 -SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	1				90	244

Other enrolled students					
118 -SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1			100	249
118 -Science Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	3			100	250
119 -SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			85	241
119 -SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1			100	249
119 -Science Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	3			100	251
114 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1			76	230
114 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1			100	241
114 -Social Science Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1			100	242
114 -Social Science Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1			100	242
115 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1			83	236
115 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1			100	245
115 -Social Science Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1			100	244
115 -Social Science Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1			100	243
116 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1			83	233
116 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	1			100	243

All program completers, 2009-10						
116 -Social Science Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1				100	243
116 -Social Science Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	241
145 -Spanish Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	242
145 -Spanish Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				100	242
145 -Spanish Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	246
146 -Spanish Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	246
146 -Spanish Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				100	246
146 -Spanish Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	244
147 -Spanish Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	252
147 -Spanish Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				100	254
147 -Spanish Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	255
142 -WRITING SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	2				100	242
142 -WRITING SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				100	244

Section III. Summary Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)	State Average pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2009-10	8			97

				(%)
All program completers, 2009-10	8			97
All program completers, 2008-09	37	36	97	99
All program completers, 2007-08	7			99

## Section IV. Low-Performing

**Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program.**

**Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?**

Yes

**If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:**

State

Other (specify: WASC)

**Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?**

No

## Section V. Technology

**Does your program prepare teachers to:**

- **integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction**  
Yes
- **use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning**  
Yes
- **use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning**  
Yes
- **use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning**  
Yes

**Provide a description of how your program prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of how your program prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.**

Each teacher credential candidate is required to demonstrate proficiency in the integration of technology into the classroom prior to recommendation for an initial teaching credential. The university's course on Technology in the Curriculum has been designed to work in tandem with other courses in the Teacher Education program, with assignments that reinforce concepts covered in class and providing adequate practice of those concepts.

Candidates are trained to be proficient in the software, multimedia tools and programs for classroom administration so that they can effectively integrate these components into student learning and effective management of the classroom.

To assure understanding and the ability to successfully integrate technology, candidates are required to create a Technology Integration website that includes a multimedia project, personal website and student assignments directly related to the candidate's teaching situation. Assignments in seminar courses also require that candidates explicitly show how to embed technology into the curriculum to support learning and achievement.

**Does your program prepare general education teachers to:**

- **teach students with disabilities effectively**  
Yes
- **participate as a member of individualized education program teams**  
Yes
- **teach students who are limited English proficient effectively**  
Yes

**Provide a description of how your program prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.**

Instruction for students with special needs and English language learners is embedded in the coursework, including the weekly seminars during field placement. Candidates learn how to effectively assess English proficiency level and instruct using SDAIE strategies to help students gain fluency in English while also progressing academically. The seminar series includes two additional workshops per semester. These workshops integrate general and special education candidates together in shared sessions on targeted topics, fostering collaboration between the candidates. Additionally, the CalTPAs target these areas.

Through coursework and supervised field experience, candidates are prepared to actively participate in IEP meetings, and to effectively apply students' IEP goals and recommendations.

**Does your program prepare special education teachers to:**

- **teach students with disabilities effectively**  
NA
- **participate as a member of individualized education program teams**  
NA
- **teach students who are limited English proficient effectively**  
NA

**Provide a description of how your program prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.**

## Section VII. Contextual Information

**Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card. The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.**

Supporting Files

Traditional Program

2009-10

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Title II, Higher Education Act

OMB Control No.: 1840-0744 (exp. 9/30/2012)

---

# Title II

## Higher Education Act

### SUBMIT REPORTS

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Alliant International University  
 Alternative, IHE-based Program  
 2009-10

Print Report Card

Program Information

**Name of Institution:** Alliant International University  
**Institution/Program Type:** Alternative, IHE-based  
**Academic Year:** 2009-10  
**State:** California

**Address:** One Beach Street

San Francisco, CA, 94133

**Contact Name:** Ms. Jayme Mogen  
**Phone:** 4159552069  
**Email:** jmogen@alliant.edu

**Is your institution a member of a Teacher Quality Enhancement (TQE) partnership grant:** No

**TQE partnership name or grant number, if applicable:**

Section I.a Program Admission

For each element listed below, check if it is required for admission into any of your initial teacher certification program(s) at either the undergraduate or postgraduate level.

Element	Undergraduate	Postgraduate
Application	NA	Yes
Fee/Payment	NA	Yes
Transcript	NA	Yes
Fingerprint check	NA	No
Background check	NA	No
Experience in a classroom or working with children	NA	No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	NA	No

Minimum high school GPA	NA	No
Minimum undergraduate GPA	NA	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	NA	No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	NA	No
Minimum ACT score	NA	No
Minimum SAT score	NA	No
Minimum GRE score	NA	No
Minimum basic skills test score	NA	Yes
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	NA	No
Minimum Miller Analogies test score	NA	No
Recommendation(s)	NA	Yes
Essay or personal statement	NA	Yes
Interview	NA	Yes
Resume	NA	No
Beachelor's degree or higher	NA	Yes
Job offer from school/district	NA	No
Personality test (e.g.,Myers-Briggs Assessment)	NA	No
Other (specify: passing TFE exam score )	NA	Yes

**Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:**

<http://www.alliant.edu/wps/wcm/connect/website/Home/Admissions/>

**Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:**

Postgraduate

**Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students? Yes**

**Please provide any additional about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:**

Applicants may petition for admission if they do not meet the minimum undergraduate GPA requirement.

Application fee and faculty interview may be waived for applicants who are affiliated with partner organizations.

Passing TFE scores are required at admission for Early Completion Option(ECO) intern candidates; TFE not required for Standard Intern candidates.

ECO and Standard Intern candidates who will be teacher of record must have a job offer from the district to enroll in seminar and field supervision courses. However, a job offer is not required for admission to the program track.

Section I.b Program Enrollment

**Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled.**

Total number of students enrolled in 2009-10:	272
---	-----

Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2009-10:	100
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2009-10:	172

2009-10	Number enrolled
<i>Ethnicity</i>	
Hispanic/Latino of any race:	21
<i>Race</i>	
American Indian or Alaska Native:	0
Asian:	24
Black or African American:	17
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander:	0
White:	127
Two or more races:	4

Section I.c Supervised Experience

**Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2009-10.**

Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching	120
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	1260
Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	0.4
Number of full-time equivalent adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	7.6
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	259

**Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:**

Section I.d Teachers Prepared

**Provide the number of teachers prepared, by academic major and subject area prepared to teach in 2009-10. (§205(b)(1)(H))**

Academic major	Number prepared
Credential + MAE	72
Credential Only	138
TOTAL	210

Subject area	Number prepared
Business	1
English	21
Foreign Language: Spanish	2
Foundational Level General Science	1

Foundational Level Mathematics	28
General Subjects	112
Mathematics	6
Music	1
Physical Education	2
Science: Biological Sciences	28
Science: Chemistry	6
Science: Physics	1
Social Science	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>210</b>

Section I.e Program Completers

**Provide the total number of initial teacher certification preparation program completers in each of the following academic years:**

2009-10: 210

2008-09: 65

2007-08: 158

Section II. Annual Goals

**Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative routes to state certification or licensure program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. IHEs that do not have a teacher preparation program in one or more of the areas listed below can enter NA for the area(s) in which the IHE does not have that program.**

Teacher shortage area	Goal for increasing prospective teachers trained
Mathematics	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> 40 (total Trad. &amp; Alt.)</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p>First, the delivery of the fast-track Early Completion Option intern program for qualified Mathematics professionals is often attractive to prospective candidates. Additionally, our partnerships with organizations who recruit Silicon Valley STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) professionals opened a pipeline of prospective students, and the program initiated support systems to help career-changers succeed in a new profession. Finally, the organization increased online marketing efforts for prospective students generally, which may have contributed to meeting the goals for this specific subject.</p>

	<p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p> <p>The major lesson learned: To assure that credentialing interns meet subject matter competency in a timely manner so that they are ready for the demands of a classroom teacher of record.</p>
<p>Science</p>	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> 40 (total Trad. &amp; Alt.)</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p>First, the delivery of the fast-track Early Completion Option intern program for qualified Science professionals is often attractive to prospective candidates. Additionally, our partnerships with organizations who recruit Silicon Valley STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) professionals opened a pipeline of prospective students, and the program initiated support systems to help career-changers succeed in a new profession. Finally, the organization increased online marketing efforts for prospective students generally, which may have contributed to meeting the goals for this specific subject.</p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p> <p>The major lesson learned: To assure that credentialing interns meet subject matter competency in a timely manner so that they are ready for the demands of a classroom teacher of record.</p>
<p>Special education</p>	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> 25</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> No</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p>The primary strategy was a focus on building partnerships with local school districts, who referred candidates and created cohorts whose specific needs could be addressed within the intern credential program. During 2009-10, the program's key district partner implemented its own Education Specialist Level I credentialing program. This had a negative impact on enrollment numbers.</p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p> <p>Continue working with school districts to recruit candidates.</p>
<p>Instruction of limited English proficient students</p>	<p><b>Academic year:</b> 2009-10</p> <p><b>Goal:</b> All are proficient</p> <p><b>Goal met?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p>All candidates who complete the program are required to be proficient in the instruction of ELLs. Course topics embed instruction for ELLs. Additionally, university field supervisors work with each new teacher to target and differentiate instruction for effective advancement of English</p>

	<p>language learners.</p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p> <p>This is a consistent area of challenge for credential candidates, and the program continues to focus on how to meet this challenge via coursework and strategies for the classroom.</p>
Other	<p><b>Academic year:</b></p> <p><b>Goal:</b></p> <p><b>Goal met?</b></p> <p><b>Description of strategies used to achieve goal:</b></p> <p><b>Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal:</b></p>

**Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:**

Section II. Assurances

**Please indicate whether your institution is in compliance with the following assurances.**

**Training provided to prospective teachers responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the institution's graduates are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.**

Yes

**Training provided to prospective teachers is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.**

Yes

**Prospective special education teachers receive coursework in core academic subjects and receive training in providing instruction in core academic subjects.**

Yes

**General education teachers receive training in providing instruction to children with disabilities.**

Yes

**General education teachers receive training in providing instruction to limited English proficient students.**

Yes

**General education teachers receive training in providing instruction to children from low-income families.**

Yes

**Prospective teachers receive training on how to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.**

Yes

**Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:**

Alliant's teacher education program includes intensive summative seminars that, in collaboration with fieldwork, address these areas throughout the program. A unique facet of the program pairs experienced local practitioners with candidates as field supervisors, utilizing the expertise of experienced teachers and their knowledge of the area to provide close one-on-one supervision during field placement.

Additionally, classroom topics specifically address each of the areas described above. For example, instruction on teaching English language learners explores explicit and systematic English Language Development (ELD) instruction best practices. Seminar and coursework instruction topics are closely matched to the needs of today's teachers and students in their focus on geographic, socio-economic and learning diversity. Most intern teachers are in high-needs districts and therefore can apply this instruction directly to the classroom. Finally, the California TPAs target these areas. Candidates who perform below proficiency are coached in identified areas of need to improve their proficiency.

## Section III. Assessment Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)	State Average pass rate (%)	State Average scaled score
120 -BIOLOGY/LIFE SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2				100	243
120 -BIOLOGY/LIFE SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	27	251	27	100	99	240
120 -Biology/Life Science Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	3				99	241
120 -Biology/Life Science Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	12	252	12	100	100	242
175 -Business Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1					
176 -Business Subtest2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1					
177 -Business Subtest3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1					
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1				99	151
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	34	179	34	100	100	155
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	125	178	125	100	100	156
098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	39	179	39	100	100	155

098 -CBEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	139	177	138	99	100	156
121 -Chemistry Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				100	255
121 -Chemistry Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	6				100	251
121 -Chemistry Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	3				100	257
121 -Chemistry Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	4				100	249
122 -Earth/Planetary Science Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1					
105 -ENGLISH SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4				100	253
105 -ENGLISH SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	21	259	21	100	100	251
105 -English Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	11	256	11	100	100	249
105 -English Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	12	260	12	100	100	253
106 -ENGLISH SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4				100	249
106 -ENGLISH SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	21	260	21	100	100	248
106 -English Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	11	243	11	100	100	245
106 -English Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	12	254	12	100	100	246
107 -ENGLISH SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4				99	247
107 -ENGLISH SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	21	256	21	100	99	246

107 -English Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	11	246	11	100	100	242
107 -English Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	12	247	12	100	100	244
108 -ENGLISH SUBTEST IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4				99	246
108 -ENGLISH SUBTEST IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	21	257	21	100	99	247
108 -English Subtest IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	11	250	11	100	100	246
108 -English Subtest IV Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	12	258	12	100	100	247
163 -Mandarin Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1					
164 -Mandarin Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1					
165 -Mandarin Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1					
110 -MATHEMATICS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6				97	245
110 -MATHEMATICS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	33	254	33	100	100	246
110 -Mathematics Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	9				100	244
110 -Mathematics Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	22	252	22	100	100	244
111 -MATHEMATICS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6				97	247
111 -MATHEMATICS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	33	252	33	100	100	244
111 -Mathematics Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	9				100	243

111 -Mathematics Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	22	251	22	100	100	243
112 -Mathematics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2				94	248
112 -Mathematics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	5				94	244
112 -Mathematics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	5				96	248
112 -Mathematics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	11	247	10	91	92	246
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	32	260	32	100	99	244
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	115	264	115	100	100	247
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	29	263	29	100	100	245
101 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	82	261	82	100	100	245
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	32	256	32	100	100	244
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	115	266	115	100	100	246
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	29	263	29	100	100	244
102 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	82	259	82	100	100	244
103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	32	256	32	100	99	243
103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	115	257	115	100	100	245
103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	29	259	29	100	100	243

103 -MULTIPLE SUBJECTS SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	82	256	82	100	100	244
136 -Music Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1				100	250
137 -Music Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	266
137 -Music Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1				100	255
138 -Music Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	1				100	247
129 -Physical Education Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				100	239
129 -Physical Education Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				97	236
130 -Physical Education Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				100	233
130 -Physical Education Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				97	234
131 -Physical Education Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1				100	240
131 -Physical Education Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				97	231
123 -Physics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1					
123 -Physics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	258
123 -Physics Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	3				94	246
081 -RICA Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4				94	94
081 -RICA Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	24	105	23	96	98	104

081 -RICA Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	29	103	29	100	100	96
081 -RICA Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	79	103	79	100	100	94
081.1 -RICA.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	13	244	11	85	69	228
081.1 -RICA.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	88	255	87	99	88	237
081.1 -RICA.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	1				74	230
118 -SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6				100	250
118 -SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	35	253	35	100	100	248
118 -Science Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	14	263	14	100	100	249
118 -Science Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	17	248	17	100	100	247
119 -SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6				100	255
119 -SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	34	263	34	100	100	249
119 -Science Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	14	265	14	100	99	250
119 -Science Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2007-08	17	262	17	100	100	251
114 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1					
114 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2				100	239

114 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				99	239
115 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1					
115 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3				98	242
115 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	242
116 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1					
116 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2				100	242
116 -SOCIAL SCIENCE SUBTEST III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	1				100	241
145 -Spanish Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	7				100	248
145 -Spanish Subtest I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				100	244
146 -Spanish Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	7				100	248
146 -Spanish Subtest II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				100	247
147 -Spanish Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	7				100	255
147 -Spanish Subtest III Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2				100	257
142 -WRITING SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	23	258	23	100	100	244
142 -WRITING SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	76	262	74	97	98	254

142 - WRITING SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2008-09	15	263	15	100	100	256
--	----	-----	----	-----	-----	-----

Section III. Summary Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)	State Average pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2009-10	210	206	98	96
All program completers, 2008-09	65	64	98	99
All program completers, 2007-08	144	142	99	99

Section IV. Low-Performing

**Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program.**

**Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?**

Yes

**If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:**

State

Other (specify: WASC)

**Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?**

No

Section V. Technology

**Does your program prepare teachers to:**

- **integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction**  
Yes
- **use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning**  
Yes
- **use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning**  
Yes
- **use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning**  
Yes

**Provide a description of how your program prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of how your program prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.**

Each teacher credential candidate is required to demonstrate proficiency in the integration of technology into the

classroom prior to recommendation for an initial teaching credential. The university's course on Technology in the Curriculum has been designed to work in tandem with other courses in the Teacher Education program, with assignments that reinforce concepts covered in class and providing adequate practice of those concepts.

Candidates are trained to be proficient in the software, multimedia tools and programs for classroom administration so that they can effectively integrate these components into student learning and effective management of the classroom.

To assure understanding and the ability to successfully integrate technology, candidates are required to create a Technology Integration website that includes a multimedia project, personal website and student assignments directly related to the candidate's teaching situation. Assignments in seminar courses also require that candidates explicitly show how to embed technology into the curriculum to support learning and achievement.

## Section VI. Teacher Training

### Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

- **teach students with disabilities effectively**  
Yes
- **participate as a member of individualized education program teams**  
Yes
- **teach students who are limited English proficient effectively**  
Yes

**Provide a description of how your program prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.**

Instruction for students with special needs and English language learners is embedded in the coursework, including the weekly seminars during field placement. Candidates learn how to effectively assess English proficiency level and instruct using SDAIE strategies to help students gain fluency in English while also progressing academically. The seminar series includes two additional workshops per semester. These workshops integrate general and special education candidates together in shared sessions on targeted topics, fostering collaboration between the candidates. Additionally, the CalTPAs target these areas.

Through coursework and supervised field experience, candidates are prepared to actively participate in IEP meetings, and to effectively apply students' IEP goals and recommendations.

### Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

- **teach students with disabilities effectively**  
Yes
- **participate as a member of individualized education program teams**  
Yes
- **teach students who are limited English proficient effectively**  
Yes

**Provide a description of how your program prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with***

**Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.**

Special education training brings together the candidate, his university and district field supervisors, university resources, and representatives of the partnering local district's Office of Special Education in a monthly seminar to implement the special education candidate's official Professional Development Plan. The Plan address the candidate's need to excel as a practitioner, assure an informed and reflective integration of theory, best practices, and the education specialist's practice in the classroom, and assess his practice in the achievement of his students. The candidate is asked to reflect on, analyze, and develop his own informed and assessed "best practice," shown through a summative Professional Portfolio.

Specific coursework also focuses on planning, modifications and delivery, using IEP-driven assessments for identification and assessment of progress. Specific seminars target assessments of English Language learners and teaching strategies that are successful for ELL students with special needs. Through coursework and supervised field experience, candidates are prepared to actively participate in IEP meetings, and to effectively write and implement IEP goals.

#### Section VII. Contextual Information

**Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card. The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.**

Supporting Files

Alliant International University  
Alternative, IHE-based Program  
2009-10

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Title II, Higher Education Act  
OMB Control No.: 1840-0744 (exp. 9/30/2012)